

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/067,412 | 02/05/2002 | Jean Charles Le Huec | 02581-P0438A | 7883 |
| 24126 | 7590 03/17/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619 | | | NGUYEN, ANH | TUAN TUONG |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3763 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | \mathscr{A} | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/067,412 | LE HUEC ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| - | | Anhtuan T. Nguyen | 3763 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u> | bruary 2002. | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. <u>§</u> 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | r (PTO-413) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/067,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "angle of 90 degrees" as depicted in claim 3. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "angle of 90 degree" should be illustrated and "elastic TiNi" should be defined in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, "an angle of 90°" lacks proper antecedent basis provided in the drawings. In claim 6, "elastic TiNi" lacks proper antecedent basis provided in the specification. Application/Control Number: 10/067,412 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or (e) as being anticipated by Pfeffer et al (5,735,289) or by Beyar et al (5,964,771).

Pfeffer et al disclose a medical instrument for creating a cavity for an endoscopic intervention comprising a hollow encasing trocar tube (12); an expander (740) with a retaining element (741) with at least two spring blades (722 or 22, 25 and 40)) wherein the trocar tube consists of two coaxial sleeves (22, 14) which form a gap (for 740 to extend through). See Figures 1 and 7A.

Beyar et al disclose a medical instrument for creating a cavity for an endoscopic intervention comprising a hollow encasing trocar tube (38); an expander (34) with a retaining

Application/Control Number: 10/067,412

Art Unit: 3763

element (not shown) with at least two spring blades (32, 33) wherein the trocar tube consists of two coaxial sleeves (30, 43) which form a gap (31 – for 34 to extend through). See Figures 5-7.

Priority

6. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anhtuan T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-2154. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 0830-1800 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anhtuan T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

ATN